

NOV 04 2022

11/1/22

CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
BY DEPUTY CLERK

Case # 2:20-cr-00213-KJM

Persuant to Rule 59 of
Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure

As Stated on the Record today in court In front of
Magistrate Judge Kendall Newman. I object to any findings
from the Judge. As The Record Specifically reflects what I
Said and mean:

"I do not waive prosecution by indictment.
I do not consent to this Magistrate Judge attempting to claim
any jurisdiction or consent to proceed on any Petty/Misdemeanor
offenses Persuant to Rule 58 of FRCRP.

For the Record I do not waive prosecution by indictment. I
Do Not waive trial before a district Judge on the Insufficient
Indictment. "Do you understand that I do not consent or
waive prosecution by Indictment. I Do not consent to these
proceedings. I Plead the 5th. I Do not waive trial before a
district Judge on the Original Insufficient Indictment.

I Don't consent to trial before a Magistrate judge on no Petty/
Misdemeanor offenses and I Plead the 5th I Do not waive
prosecution by Indictment, whether it be past, present, or future.

I Object to any Implied waiver of prosecution by Indictment
Persuant to Rule 59 of the FRCRP. I Do Not consent nor waive
the Indictment. So please Save the time I Don't waive my right to
review. Neither"

: Shalam C. Jaitills-Bey: Pro Per. Sui Juris

I will assert my right In front of Any Magistrate
Judge. I Do Not Waive Prosecution By Indictment.